Introduction to Continuous time to Event Data

The Timing of First Marriage:

Are There Religious Variations?

XIAOHE XU

Mississippi State University

CLARK D. HUDSPETH Jacksonville State University

JOHN P. BARTKOWSKI

Mississippi State University

Using survey data from a nationally representative sample, this article explores how marriage timing varies across major religious denominations. Survival analysis indicates that net of statistical controls, Catholics, moderate Protestants, conservative Protestants, and Mormons marry significantly earlier than their unaffiliated counterparts. This holds true for women and men. However, no statistical differences emerge between Jews, liberal Protestants, and the unaffiliated. As surmised, auxiliary statistical tests reveal additional religious subcultural variations; (a) Jews tend to marry later than Catholics, conservative Protestants, and Mormons; (b) Catholics also marry later than conservative Protestants and Mormons; (c) no statistical difference surfaces between Mormons and conservative Protestants; and (d) differences between Catholics and liberal Protestants as well as between Jews and liberal Protestants are statistically negligible. These findings systematically support the denominational subcultural paradigm in the case of marriage timing.

In the continuous time setting we have

$$F(t) = P(T \leq t)$$

The CDF

$$F(t) = P(T \le t)$$
 The CDF $f(t) = F'(t)$ The PDF

$$F(t)=P(T\leq t)$$
 The CDF $f(t)=F'(t)$ The PDF $S(t)=P(T>t)=1-F(t)$ The Survivor Function

$$F(t) = P(T \le t)$$
 The CDF
 $f(t) = F'(t)$ The PDF
 $S(t) = P(T > t) = 1 - F(t)$ The Survivor Function
 $h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{P(t \le T \le t + \Delta t | T \ge t)}{\Delta t}$
 $= \frac{f(t)}{S(t)} = -\frac{d}{dx} \log S(t)$ The Hazard Function

$$F(t) = P(T \le t)$$
 The CDF $f(t) = F'(t)$ The PDF $S(t) = P(T > t) = 1 - F(t)$ The Survivor Function $h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{P(t \le T \le t + \Delta t | T \ge t)}{\Delta t}$ $= \frac{f(t)}{S(t)} = -\frac{d}{dx} \log S(t)$ The Hazard Function $H(t) = \int_0^t h(s) ds$ The Cumulative Hazard Function.



▶ In order to account for **censoring**, we will typically jointly model (T_i, C_i) .

- ▶ In order to account for **censoring**, we will typically jointly model (T_i, C_i) .
- ▶ We let $f(t_i; \theta)$ and $g(c_i; \phi)$ be the respective **densities**.

- ▶ In order to account for **censoring**, we will typically jointly model (T_i, C_i) .
- ▶ We let $f(t_i; \theta)$ and $g(c_i; \phi)$ be the respective **densities**.
- ▶ We let \tilde{t}_i be the **observed event time** and δ_i be the event indicator.

- ▶ In order to account for **censoring**, we will typically jointly model (T_i, C_i) .
- ▶ We let $f(t_i; \theta)$ and $g(c_i; \phi)$ be the respective **densities**.
- ▶ We let \tilde{t}_i be the **observed event time** and δ_i be the event indicator.
- ▶ Take $\mathcal{G}(c_i; \phi)$ to be the **survival curve** for censoring.

- ▶ In order to account for **censoring**, we will typically jointly model (T_i, C_i) .
- ▶ We let $f(t_i; \theta)$ and $g(c_i; \phi)$ be the respective **densities**.
- ▶ We let \widetilde{t}_i be the **observed event time** and δ_i be the event indicator.
- ▶ Take $\mathcal{G}(c_i; \phi)$ to be the **survival curve** for censoring.
- ▶ Assume that censoring is **independent** of the event, $T_i \perp C_i$, then ...

$$L_i(\theta,\phi) = f(\widetilde{t}_i;\theta)^{\delta_i} \times S(\widetilde{t}_i;\theta)^{1-\delta_i} \times g(\widetilde{t}_i;\phi)^{1-\delta_i} \times \mathcal{G}(\widetilde{t}_i;\phi)^{\delta_i}.$$

- ▶ In order to account for **censoring**, we will typically jointly model (T_i, C_i) .
- ▶ We let $f(t_i; \theta)$ and $g(c_i; \phi)$ be the respective **densities**.
- ▶ We let \tilde{t}_i be the **observed event time** and δ_i be the event indicator.
- ▶ Take $\mathcal{G}(c_i; \phi)$ to be the **survival curve** for censoring.
- ▶ Assume that censoring is **independent** of the event, $T_i \perp C_i$, then ...

$$L_i(\theta,\phi) = f(\widetilde{t}_i;\theta)^{\delta_i} \times S(\widetilde{t}_i;\theta)^{1-\delta_i} \times g(\widetilde{t}_i;\phi)^{1-\delta_i} \times \mathcal{G}(\widetilde{t}_i;\phi)^{\delta_i}.$$

lacktriangle Assume that censoring is **uninformative** so that $heta \cap \phi = \emptyset$, then ...

$$L_i(\theta,\phi) = f(\widetilde{t}_i;\theta)^{\delta_i} \times S(\widetilde{t}_i;\theta)^{1-\delta_i}.$$

Re-writing based on Hazard

Since $h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{S(t)}$ then

$$L_i(\theta,\phi) = f(\tilde{t}_i;\theta)^{\delta_i} \times S(\tilde{t}_i;\theta)^{1-\delta_i} = h(\tilde{t}_i;\theta)^{\delta_i} S(\tilde{t}_i;\theta).$$

If we specify a **specific distribution** this can be worked out.

Location-Scale Families

Definition

Suppose that a random variable Y can be written as

$$Y = a + bX$$
,

for X in the same family of distributions as Y.

Then the distribution of X and Y is called a **location-scale family**.

Example

If $X \sim N(0,1)$ and $Y \sim N(\mu,\sigma^2)$, then

$$Y \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + \sigma X$$
.

If $Y \sim \mathsf{Exp}(\rho)$ then

$$\log(Y) = \log \rho + W,$$

where \boldsymbol{W} has an **extreme value distribution**.



Breakdown into Non-Normal Errors

Note that if, for some transformation, we have

$$Y = g(T) = \mu + W,$$

where W is considered an error term, this looks like a regression model.

Breakdown into Non-Normal Errors

Note that if, for some transformation, we have

$$Y = g(T) = \mu + W$$

where W is considered an error term, this looks like a regression model.

Estimation of the distribution becomes estimation of μ .

► We assume independent censoring.

- We assume independent censoring.
- ► We assume **non-informative censoring**.

- ▶ We assume independent censoring.
- ► We assume **non-informative censoring**.
- We specify a parametric form for the distribution, typically a location-scale family.

- ► We assume independent censoring.
- ► We assume **non-informative censoring**.
- We specify a parametric form for the distribution, typically a location-scale family.
- We find the ML estimator.

- We assume independent censoring.
- ► We assume **non-informative censoring**.
- We specify a parametric form for the distribution, typically a location-scale family.
- We find the ML estimator.
- ▶ This process will be expanded to allow for **covariates**, later.



Sample Bias

Truncation occurs when our sample contains only individuals with $T_i > u$ or $T_i < u$ for some threshold u.

When we have truncation we need to run a **conditional analysis**. That is, we have to condition on $T_i > u$.

This way, we will consider

$$L_i(\theta) = f(t_i|T > u;\theta) = \frac{f(t_i;\theta)}{S(u;\theta)}.$$

Continuous time survival data can be analyzed with parametric likelihood analysis.

- Continuous time survival data can be analyzed with parametric likelihood analysis.
- ▶ **Location-scale families** provide a convenient, regression-type formulation.

- Continuous time survival data can be analyzed with parametric likelihood analysis.
- **Location-scale families** provide a convenient, regression-type formulation.
- ► Truncation is a problem which requires a conditional analysis.

- Continuous time survival data can be analyzed with parametric likelihood analysis.
- ▶ **Location-scale families** provide a convenient, regression-type formulation.
- ► Truncation is a problem which requires a conditional analysis.
- ▶ **Standard likelihood** techniques are used.



▶ We will explore **likelihood derivation** in full.

- ▶ We will explore **likelihood derivation** in full.
- We will work through location-scale families and show the implied log-linear regression models.

- ▶ We will explore **likelihood derivation** in full.
- We will work through location-scale families and show the implied log-linear regression models.
- ▶ We will fit parametric likelihood models in R.

- ▶ We will explore **likelihood derivation** in full.
- We will work through location-scale families and show the implied log-linear regression models.
- ▶ We will fit parametric likelihood models in R.
- ▶ Then... two final types of models for **continuous time survival analysis**.